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Abstract—Objective: Non-contact sensing of seismocardiogram (SCG) signals through a microwave Doppler radar is promising for biomedical applications. However, the delineation of fiducial points for radar SCG still relies on concurrent ECG which requires a contact sensor and limits the complete non-contact detection of SCG. Methods: Instead of ECG, a new reference signal, the radar displacement signal of heartbeat (RDH), was derived through the complex Fourier transform and the band pass filtering of the radar signal. The RDH signal was used to locate each cardiac cycle and mask the systolic profile, which was further used to detect an important fiducial point, aortic valve opening (AO). The beat-to-beat interval was estimated from AO-AO interval and compared with the gold standard, ECG R-to-R interval. Results: For the 22 subjects in the study, the evaluation of the AOs detected by RDH (AO RDH) shows the average detection ratio can reach 90%, indicating a high ratio of the AO RDH that are exactly the same as AO detected using the ECG R-wave (AO ECG). Additionally, the left ventricular ejection time (LVET) values estimated from the ensemble averaged radar waveform through AO RDH segmentation are within 2 ms of those through AO ECG segmentation, for all the detected subjects. Further analysis demonstrates that the beat-to-beat intervals calculated from AO RDH have an average root-mean-square-deviation (RMSD) of 33.73 ms when compared with ECG R-to-R intervals, and have an average RMSD of 23.47 ms after removing the beats in which AO cannot be identified. Conclusions: Radar signal RDH can be used as a reference signal to delineate fiducial points for non-contact radar SCG signals. Significance: This study can be applied to develop complete non-contact sensing of SCG and monitoring of vital signs, where contact-based SCG is not feasible.

Index Terms—Fiducial Point, seismocardiogram (SCG), aortic valve open, non-contact, microwave, Doppler radar.

I. INTRODUCTION

CARDIAC time intervals, such as systolic time intervals (STIs) within each cardiac cycle, are important timing features for evaluating cardiovascular health [1]–[3]. The seismocardiogram (SCG) signal, which could be measured non-invasively, records micro-scale precordial vibrations from heart movement and blood flow [4]. It can be used to derive STIs through detection of key fiducial points. These points, such as aortic valve opening (AO) and aortic valve closing (AC), can be accurately delineated from high quality SCG signals. The measured STIs can then be used to evaluate cardiac health and performance, such as exercise capacity, coronary artery disease and left ventricular muscle dysfunction [5], [6].

The conventional SCG signal is generally acquired by attaching an accelerometer to the mid-sternum [7]; while this measurement approach is non-invasive, it still requires a sensor to be attached to the skin, which may not be possible for burn patients or infants [8]–[10]. Recently, Xia et al. [11] investigated a non-contact approach based on microwave Doppler radar for SCG measurement, and demonstrated that the radar acceleration waveform (RAW, the second derivative of radar displacement signal) has a high similarity to the dorso-ventral SCG (SCG DV) in both morphology and the locations of fiducial points AO and AC. Since the manual analysis used in [11] relies on the contact electrocardiogram (ECG) signal, an automatic and standalone method for delineating fiducial points from RAW, the radar SCG signal, is needed for real-time and remote monitoring of STIs.

As RAW shows high similarity to SCG DV, we first explored the traditional delineation methods for SCG to delineate fiducial points from RAW. The conventional methods rely on the ECG R-wave to segment the cardiac cycles and identify the fiducial points [7], [11]. For example, the AO can be detected by searching the minimum or maximum absolute magnitude of SCG in the first 200 ms starting from the ECG R-wave [12]. The time durations between R-wave and AO, and AO and AC can represent the pre-ejection period (PEP) and left ventricular ejection time (LVET) respectively [13], [14], which are important STIs reflecting left ventricular function and having significance in heart failure monitoring [15], ischemic myocardial disease [16] and hemorrhage management [17]. However, this delineation approach requires additional ECG sensors attached to the skin surface, which is not suitable for a complete non-contact radar system.

Tadi et al. [18] developed an algorithm to detect the AO from SCG without the concurrent ECG. The algorithm combines the SCG signals in three axes to obtain the Hilbert transformed acceleration and generate the principal velocity signal. Using the local maximum of the principal velocity signal, the systolic peak or SCG AO point on the original SCG...
signal can be located for each cardiac cycle. After removing the motion artifacts, the inter-beat intervals were calculated from the SCG AO points. They show high correlation and an average root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 37.6 ms when compared with ECG R-to-R intervals. This demonstrates a promising application of SCG in estimating the inter-beat intervals. However, the delineation algorithm of AO is susceptible to noise and requires three-axis SCG, which cannot be used for delineating the RAW signals that represent only the SCG$_{DV}$ signals.

Khosrow-Khavar et al. [19] proposed an automatic delineation algorithm applicable to SCG$_{DV}$ signals. Instead of using ECG, the delineation algorithm is based on an envelope signal of SCG$_{DV}$, the heart rate envelope (HRE), that can indicate the systolic profile of the corresponding SCG$_{DV}$. The delineation algorithm could identify the AO with a mean detection ratio of 32% per individual recording [19]. However, the derivation of HRE depends on the cut-off frequency, integration width and percentage of causality index, and may require adjustment for different subjects or the same subject under different conditions. Additionally, the fiducial points are unrecognizable when the signal quality of SCG$_{DV}$ is limited.

Variational mode decomposition (VMD) approach [20] was proposed to construct the heart rate envelope, but it is for the standalone heartbeat extraction only. The moving average approach [21] and single-layer bi-directional long short-term memory (LSTM) network [22] were developed to recognize the systolic profile without concurrent ECG, which can be further applied for AO detection. However, the moving average threshold has requirements on the signal quality and the LSTM network fails to detect the systolic profiles of lower magnitude or quality, and the overall detection ratios are limited.

As discussed above, the signal quality plays an important role in the standalone delineation of fiducial points. However, compared with conventional SCG signals detected by a contact sensor, the signal quality of RAW is affected by the noise and interference in the radar transmission path. This limits the annotation performance, and in particular, the noise and interference make it difficult to accurately determine a search window for fiducial points using the methods developed for conventional SCG signals.

In this paper, we propose an approach based on a radar displacement signal of heartbeat (RDH) for automatic detection of AO from RAW without concurrent ECG. The RDH signals were derived from the complex Fourier transform of the radar displacement signals that were further band-pass filtered. The derived RDH signal was used as a reference signal to automatically and accurately narrow down search windows for standalone AO annotation, and the AOs were then detected within the search windows (AO$_{RDH}$). Another method using radar acceleration envelopes (RAE) was also applied to detect AOs (AO$_{RAE}$), based on the work of Khosrow-khavar et al. [19], and the detection ratio of AO$_{RDH}$ was compared with that of the AO$_{RAE}$. Additionally, the AO and AC points were extracted from ensemble averaged waveform of the RAW signal to estimate the LVET. Finally, the inter-beat intervals calculated from the RAW AO-AO intervals were compared with the ECG R-to-R intervals to illustrate the efficacy of obtaining heart rate variability without concurrent ECG.

**II. METHODOLOGY**

**A. Data Acquisition**

The microwave Doppler radar system had the same setup as that described in [11], consisting of a microwave signal generator (Agilent N5222A), horn antennas (A-INFOLB-20180-SF), an I/Q frequency downconverter (Hittite HMC951LP4E) and a data acquisition unit (DAQ, Analog Devices AD7770). It worked at 5.8 GHz with a transmitting power of 6 dBm, and antennas were 50 cm away from the mid-sternum of a human subject as shown in Fig. 1. The I/Q radar signals were recorded from the radar system through the AD7770. The ECG was recorded using a BN-RSPEC and a MP150WSW DAQ (BioPAC Systems, Inc., Goleta, CA, USA) as a reference signal for heartbeat segmentation and fiducial point detection. The AD7770 and MP150WSW DAQs were synchronized to sample the radar and ECG signals simultaneously with the same sampling rate of 1000 samples/second.

A total of 22 individuals volunteered to participate in the experimental measurement, including 10 female adults (Age: 32.40±10.81 years, Height: 163.88±2.80 cm, and Weight: 55.75±4.61 kg) and 12 male adults (Age: 34.17±10.60 years, Height: 177.38±5.01 cm, and Weight: 77.61±7.09 kg). Before the experiments, all the participants were informed of the experimental procedures, and signed the consent forms (approved by the Georgia Tech Institutional Review Board). Each of the volunteers was asked to sit on the chair for 120 seconds for acquiring the cardiac signals in the rest state with normal breathing. Additionally, the subjects were asked to stay still to reduce motion artifacts during the measurements, such as gestures, swallow activity and other body movements.

**B. Signal Processing and Reference Signal for RAW**

1) Pre-processing and Linear Filtering: The radar displacement signals were obtained from the recorded I/Q radar signals through the arctangent approach [23]. Then the RAW signal was obtained by taking the second derivative of radar displacement signal, which is an acceleration signal similar to...
SCG_{DV} [11]. The first and last 10 seconds were disregarded to remove the possible interferences at the beginning and end of the recording process. Thus, RAW signals of 100 seconds were analyzed for each subject.

Severe interferences due to motion artifacts such as unintentional body movement and coughs can distort the RAW signal and make it impossible to extract effective cardiac information. Typically, these interferences could result in a much higher power level than the normal cardiac signals [2]. A sliding window of length 500 ms and a threshold twice the median value of the power envelope were used to mark the interfered signals [2], as shown in Fig. 2. These marked interfered signals were discarded before further analysis.

After removing the segments corrupted with obvious motion artifacts, the ECG and RAW signals were filtered with finite impulse response (FIR) filters (Kaiser window) to remove noises with pass bands of 3-45 Hz and 18-35 Hz, respectively. The pass band of 18-35 Hz was selected for filtering RAW signal based on the previous work by Xia et al. [11]. It was demonstrated that the RAW signal in the pass band of 18-35 Hz has a good similarity to SCG_{DV} in morphology and timing features.

2) Generation of Reference Signal: A reference signal was derived to delineate the RAW signals. This reference signal was named as radar displacement signal of heartbeat (RDH), which is the displacement signal corresponding to the heartbeat that is much smaller than and is superimposed on the respiration signal as shown in Fig. 3 (a). To extract the RDH signal, a complex Fourier transform (CFT) was first applied to the recorded radar displacement signals to eliminate the harmonics and signal distortion in the spectrum [24]. As shown in Fig. 3 (b), the spectrum can clearly show the heartbeat component at 1.285 Hz without the surrounding interferences. By searching for the highest peak within the frequency band 0.8 Hz-2.5 Hz where the heartbeat frequency generally locates [25], the heartbeat frequency \( f_{hb} \) could be identified. Then, a FIR filter with 0.2-Hz pass band centering on the heartbeat frequency \( f_{hb} \) was applied to the radar displacement signals to extract the RDH signal. This indicates the maximum allowable change of heart rate for the duration of the radar signal that uses a single RDH reference signal is 6 beats per minute. For general applications, wherein the heart rate may vary more than 6 beats per minute over time, the radar recording may be segmented into multiple sections so that the variation of heart rate is within 6 beats per minute within each section. Then a RDH signal could be extracted from each of the multiple sections using the proposed approach.

C. Window Selection for Masking Systolic Profile and Searching Fiducial Point AO

For determining the AO location in SCG, the ECG R-wave is typically used as the reference, and the peak of SCG with the minimum or maximum absolute magnitude within a 200-ms fixed window is considered as the AO point [12], [26]. This method was applied to RAW, the radar SCG signal, to obtain the accurate AO locations for evaluating the proposed method in this paper, which was denoted as AO_{ECG}.

In the proposed method that uses the RDH signal as a reference signal, two windows were determined to mask the systolic profile and search the AO point, respectively. The RAW of 16 subjects were analyzed to obtain the two windows. Based on our observation, the peaks of RDH (PRDH) might be at either side of the ECG R-wave. The locations of PRDH relative to the ECG R-wave should be evaluated to correctly build a 300-ms time window for locating the systolic profile. The relative locations of PRDH with respect to the ECG R-wave were analyzed for all heartbeats of each subject. For the heartbeats with PRDH before ECG R-wave, the 300-ms window starting from or before PRDH could mask the systolic profile. For heartbeats with PRDH after ECG R-wave, the window should be adjusted, and the analysis of the interval between them could determine the 95% confidence
interval and a number offset1, which determines a 300-ms time window [PRDH-offset1, PRDH-offset1+300] that can mask the major portion of the systolic profile in general cases. After masking the systolic profile in RAW, the envelope of the profile (ENV_{RDH}) was derived through a third order integration of the masked RAW, and the peak of ENV_{RDH} (PENV_{RDH}) should be very close to the AO point [27]. The PENV_{RDH} was found around the location of the corresponding AO_{ECG} which is considered as the true location of AO. The relative location of PENV_{RDH} with respect to AO_{ECG} was evaluated to determine a general search window for AO. Similar with the determination of 300-ms window, the intervals between PENV_{RDH} and AO_{ECG} for heartbeats with PENV_{RDH} after AO_{ECG} were analyzed to obtain the 95% confidence interval, which could determine an offset2. A 200-ms time window [PENV_{RDH-offset2}, PENV_{RDH-offset2+200}] can be built to search for the AO_{RDH}. The peak of RAW with the minimum or maximum absolute magnitude in this 200-ms fixed window is considered as the AO, denoted as AO_{RDH}. The process for identifying AO_{RDH} is shown in Algorithm 1. The locating, integrating and searching processes contribute to a computational complexity of O(n) for the algorithm, where n represents the length of the radar signal.

Algorithm 1 Identify the fiducial point AO_{RDH}

1: Locate the peaks of RDH (PRDH)
2: Use PRDH to build 300-ms windows, [PRDH-offset1, PRDH-offset1+300]
3: Within the 300-ms window, mask the systolic profile
4: Integrate the masked profile to get its envelope ENV_{RDH}
5: Locate the peaks of ENV_{RDH} (PENV_{RDH})
6: Use PENV_{RDH} to build 200-ms windows, [PENV_{RDH-offset2}, PENV_{RDH-offset2+200}]
7: Within the 200-ms window, search for the minimum or maximum absolute and obtain AO_{RDH}

As mentioned before, another method using RAE was applied to detect AO from radar signal based on the work for contact SCG waveform proposed by Khoosrow-khavar et al. [19]. In this approach, the peaks of RAE (PRAE) are at least 50 ms before the ECG R-wave, and therefore a fixed window of 300 ms with respect to PRAE [PRAE, PRAE+300] was chosen to mask the systolic profile. Then the envelope of systolic profile (ENV_{RAE}) and its peak (PENV_{RAE}) were derived so that the AO point can be determined as the peak with maximum or minimum absolute magnitude within a search window W_{SYS} proposed in [19]. The AO location determined using this method was denoted as AO_{RAE}.

D. Ensemble Averaged Waveform and LVET

Compared with AO, the automatic delineation of AC is more challenging due to the variations in amplitude and timing of the AC peaks in each heart beat cycle for the conventional SCG [4]. The signal quality of RAW including the amplitude and timing features is affected more by interference and noise when compared with SCG acquired from the contact sensor approach. Thus, the AC points were manually extracted from RAW, which is the first encountered maximum for the diastolic profile [28]. The AC point represents the start of diastole when the pressure inside the ventricle has dropped causing the aortic valve to close abruptly.

For each subject, the 100-second RAW signal was first segmented into heartbeats using four reference points: ECG R-wave, AO_{ECG}, AO_{RAE} and AO_{RDH} separately. The performance of adopting these different reference points were compared afterwards in Section III-D. All the heartbeats were averaged with ensemble averaging techniques to reduce uncorrelated noise [29]. Then, four ensemble averaged RAW heartbeats were obtained for each subject.

After averaging, the AC point on each ensemble averaged waveform was manually marked. For each subject, the AC_{ECG}, AC_{RAE} and AC_{RDH} were obtained, and they represent the ACs identified in the ensemble averaged waveforms segmented by ECG R-wave, AO_{ECG}, AO_{RAE} and AO_{RDH}, respectively. LVET was then determined by calculating the AO-AC interval. The LVETs derived from the ensemble averaged waveforms obtained by using ECG R-wave, AO_{ECG}, AO_{RAE} and AO_{RDH} as reference points were denoted as LVET_{R}, LVET_{ECG}, LVET_{RAE} and LVET_{RDH}, respectively.

E. Interbeat Interval from AO

Tadi et al. has shown that AO-AO interval of SCG can be used to obtain beat to beat interval (BBI) with a high accuracy when compared with corresponding ECG R-to-R interval [18]. Apart from estimating STIs, studies were also conducted to explore the efficacy of using the AO points delineated from the RAW signal to estimate BBI. The BBIs calculated using the AO_{ECG}, AO_{RAE} and AO_{RDH} were denoted as BBI_{ECG}, BBI_{RAE} and BBI_{RDH}, respectively. Those BBI values were also compared with the gold standard BBI that is the ECE R-to-R interval and was denoted as BBI_{R}.

III. EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

A. The Performance of the Reference Signal RDH

A visual comparison of RAE and RDH with corresponding ECG and RAW signals is given in Fig. 4. The RDH signal was compared with the ECG and RAE signals to evaluate its performance. Fig. 4 (a) shows an example of good-quality RAW signal whereas Fig. 4 (b) gives an example of poor-quality RAW signal. In both cases, the RAE and RDH signals show the same number of cardiac cycles as that of ECG, and each cycle has a major peak that could potentially be used as a reference point similar to the ECG R-wave. The RDH signal has peak locations different from the RAE signal, and contains less tiny fluctuations.

It is obvious that the cardiac cycles cannot be accurately determined from only a RAE signal derived from the relatively low-quality radar signal, as shown in Fig. 4 (b). The time interval between adjacent peaks is affected due to the noise and the interferences, and the effectiveness of RAE is severely degraded. This was also demonstrated in [19]. For example, in Fig. 4 (b), the fifth peak in RAE is less than 400 ms from its previous peak and should be disregarded, and thus this cardiac cycle could not be identified by RAE. In contrast to the RAE
signal, the RDH signal in Fig. 4 (b) is showing to be less affected by the noise and can still indicate the cardiac cycles correctly. Since the center frequency of the band pass filter, described in Section II-B.2, is an estimation of the averaged heartbeat, the band pass filtering step reduces the influence of sudden interference.

To further evaluate the performance of RDH and RAE in representing the cardiac cycle quantitatively, the number of detected cardiac cycles using the ECG, RAE and RDH signals was compared for each of the 22 subjects. ECG is the gold standard for identifying cardiac cycles in healthy people without arrhythmias, and number of cardiac cycles in ECG is denoted as \( N \). The ratio between the number of detected cardiac cycles in RAE and \( N \), \( r_{RAE} \), and the ratio between that in RDH and \( N \), \( r_{RDH} \), are shown in Fig. 5.

As shown in Fig. 5, the RDH signal provides a high average detection ratio of cardiac cycles, 0.995. Among the 22 subjects, it detects the same number of cardiac cycles as that from ECG for 16 subjects, and the detection ratio is over 0.96 for the other 6 subjects. In comparison, the RAE signal achieves an average detection ratio of 0.883, lower than that of RDH, and provides the same number of cardiac cycles as that from ECG for only 2 subjects. Thus, the RDH signal could represent the number of cardiac cycles accurately, and would be promising to locate the systolic profile and the fiducial point.

**B. The Determination of the Time Window**

For the heartbeats with PRDH before the ECG R-wave, the time window \([PRDH-offset1, PRDH-offset1+300]\) could mask the systolic profile. However, it should be adjusted to guarantee the masking of systolic profile for the heartbeats with PRDH after the ECG R-wave. The intervals between PRDH and ECG R-wave for 16 subjects were analyzed to determine the \( offset1 \) value, and the other 6 subjects were used to evaluate the performance of \( offset1 \) in the fiducial point annotation. Fig. 6 summarizes the time interval for heartbeats in which PRDH is on the right of corresponding ECG R-wave.
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Based on the statistical analysis of confidence interval [30], [31], the 95% confidence interval for each subject was calculated. Using the upper confidence limit marked in red dot for each subject, the 95% confidence interval for the 16 subjects could determine the offset to be 88.35 ms, and a 300-ms time window [PRDH-88.35, PRDH+211.65] was selected for masking the systolic profile. For the heartbeats with PRDH after the ECG R-wave, Fig. 6 shows that 14 out of 16 subjects have mean values within 88.35 ms, and thus the window could mask the systolic profile in general cases.

After determining the time window for masking the systolic profile, PENV_RDH was obtained from the envelope of the masked systolic profile. The intervals between PENV_RDH and AOE were evaluated to determine the time window [PENV_RDH-offset2, PENV_RDH-offset2+200]. Similar with the determination of 300-ms window, Fig. 7 shows the analysis of time interval between PENV_RDH and AOE for the heartbeats with PENV_RDH on the right of AOE points.

As shown in Fig. 7, the 95% confidence interval for each of 16 subjects was calculated, and the upper confidence limit marked in red dot determined the 95% confidence interval for 16 subjects. Then the offset was determined to be 34.89 ms, and a 200-ms time window of [PENV_RDH-34.89, PENV_RDH+165.11] was selected to search for AORDH.

C. Evaluation of the Fiducial Point AOE

The detection ratios of AORAE and AORDH for each subject were assessed to demonstrate the detection accuracy. Here, the detection ratio indicates the percentage of number of AORAE or AORDH that are at the same locations as that of the corresponding AOE. The results for the 16 subjects are presented in Fig. 8, and those of the last 6 subjects in Fig. 8 are also demonstrated to exhibit the detection performance.

For the first 16 subjects, the detection ratios of AORDH are all over 75%, in which 11 of them are over 90%. The results of AORAE exhibit that for only 2 subjects the detection ratios are over 75%, for 5 subjects the ratios are between 50% and 75%, and for 9 subjects the detection ratios are between 25% and 50%.

For the other 6 subjects, the AORDH has detection ratios over 90% for 4 subjects and the ratios are between 50% and 75% for 2 subjects. For AORAE, the detection ratios are between 50% and 75% for 3 subjects, the ratios are between 25% and 50% for 2 subjects, and for the subject F10 the detection ratio is below 25%.

Based on the results in Fig. 8, AORDH achieves an overall average detection ratio of 90%. For the case of AORAE, the average detection ratio is 47%. The results demonstrate that AORDH could achieve a good representation of AOE, and improve the average detection ratio by 43% when compared with AORAE.

The superior performance of AORDH compared with AORAE is due to the high quality of the RDH signal that leads to a higher detection ratio of cardiac cycles and better determined windows, as demonstrated in Sections III-A and III-B. This in turn helps to obtain more accurate systolic profiles using RDH, which is further demonstrated visually in Fig. 9. In Fig. 9 (a), a high-quality RAW signal is presented with the corresponding AOE, AORAE and AORDH marked. In addition, the ECG R-wave location, ENV_RAE and ENV_RDH were also marked and displayed. Here, a high-quality RAW signal indicates that it has a cross correlation coefficient over 0.9 with the concurrent dorso-ventral SCG detected by an accelerometer. The figure shows that each ECG R-wave locates before the corresponding AO, while the peaks of ENV_RAE and ENV_RDH are very close to the corresponding AO point. The AO localization methods
based on the peaks of ENV$_{RAE}$ and ENV$_{RDH}$, as discussed in Section II-C, can accurately locate AO$_{RAE}$ and AO$_{RDH}$, respectively, which are at the same locations as that of AO$_{ECG}$. However, when the signal quality of RAW decreases, as shown in Fig. 9 (b), the RAE approach fails to detect some AO points while the RDH approach could still reliably identify all AO points, which demonstrates the robustness of the RDH approach to the noise.

**D. Evaluation of the Ensemble Averaged Waveform and LVET**

The ensemble averaged waveform for one subject is shown in Fig. 10. Fig. 10 (a) is the ensemble average of the beats segmented using the ECG R-wave. The fiducial points AO$_{R}$ and AC$_{R}$ are clearly observed, and the ensemble average exhibits high signal quality. The waveforms in Figs. 10 (b), (c) and (d) correspond to the ensemble average of beats segmented using AO$_{ECG}$, AO$_{RAE}$ and AO$_{RDH}$, respectively.

The morphology of Fig. 10 (b) is very similar to that of Fig. 10 (a), except that the starting point is AO$_{ECG}$ instead of ECG R-wave. After removing the part before AO$_{R}$ of Fig. 10 (a) and the corresponding number of points at the end of Fig. 10 (b), the averaged waveforms in Figs. 10 (a) and (b) have a cross correlation coefficient of 0.97. The high similarity of the averaged waveforms indicates that the beat segmentation with AO could also be effectively used for obtaining the ensemble averaged waveform.

The averaged waveform in Fig. 10 (d) has a cross correlation coefficient of 0.99 to that in Fig. 10 (b), which is higher than the coefficient of 0.95 between the waveforms in Figs. 10 (b) and (c). Thus, compared with Fig. 10 (c), the averaged waveform in Fig. 10 (d) has higher similarity to Fig. 10 (b) in morphology, especially the diastolic profile. This is because the AO$_{RDH}$ has a higher detection ratio, and thus reduces the number of beats that are not starting from the correct...

---

**Fig. 9:** Detection of fiducial point AO from radar signals using ECG, RAE and RDH.

**Fig. 10:** The averaged RAW waveform starting from (a) ECG R-wave, (b) AO$_{ECG}$, (c) AO$_{RAE}$ and (d) AO$_{RDH}$.

**Fig. 11:** The errors of the LVETs derived from the ensemble averaged RAW with LVET$_{R}$ as the reference.
Fig. 12: Beat-to-beat intervals calculated from AO for one subject.

Fig. 13: The RMSDs of BBI_{ECG}, BBI_{RAE} and BBI_{RDH} using BBI_{R} as reference.

AO locations. The beats starting from inaccurate AO points degrade the morphology of the ensemble averaged waveform, as observed in Fig. 10 (b) at around 200 ms.

To evaluate the performance of using different reference signals for estimating LVETs, LVETs for each subject were calculated from AOs and ACs marked on the corresponding ensemble averaged waveforms. For the LVETs obtained using three reference points (AO_{ECG}, AO_{RAE} and AO_{RDH}), the comparisons with LVET_{R} are given in Fig. 11.

For subjects F03, F10 and M07, ACs could not be identified from the ensemble averaged RAW waveforms due to the limited quality of RAW, and thus the corresponding LVETs are not available. For the other 19 subjects, the LVET_{R} are detected. Compared with the LVET_{R}, the LVET_{ECG} is within 2 ms of LVET_{R} for 14 subjects and the errors are between 2 ms and 5 ms for 2 subjects. For the other 3 subjects, ACs are undetectable on the quality-limited ensemble averaged waveform starting from AO_{ECG}, and thus LVET_{ECG} are not available. This indicates that the beat segmentation with AO can be used to estimate LVET with limited errors.

The LVET_{RDH} has almost the same results as that of the LVET_{ECG}. For each detected LVET_{ECG}, the corresponding LVET_{RDH} is within 2 ms. The LVET_{RAE} could also achieve good performances, but F04 and F07 have deviations over 2 ms and the method does not provide a LVET_{RAE} value for M04. These results demonstrate that LVET_{RDH} could perform as well as LVET_{ECG}, and has better performance than LVET_{RAE}.

E. Evaluation of Interbeat Interval from AO

BBIs calculated from AO-AO intervals are compared with the gold standard BBI_{R} for one subject with a 30-second plot shown in Fig. 12. The variation of the BBI_{R} and BBI_{ECG} with time demonstrates the respiratory trends congruent with physiological mechanisms of respiratory sinus arrhythmia [32]. The BBI_{RAE} and BBI_{RDH} also indicate the similar results and follow the respiratory trends. In Fig. 12, the BBI_{RDH} are the same as the BBI_{R} except the two points at the beginning of the plot, while the BBI_{RAE} has relatively large errors at 7 points. This is also exhibited in their RMSDs from the BBI_{R}, which are 6.55 ms and 64.52 ms for BBI_{RDH} and BBI_{RAE}, respectively.

To further demonstrate the accuracy of BBI_{ECG}, BBI_{RAE} and BBI_{RDH}, they are compared with the gold standard BBI_{R} for all the subjects. The corresponding RMSDs are calculated and denoted as RMSD_{ECG}, RMSD_{RAE} and RMSD_{RDH}, respectively, with the results shown in Fig. 13.

The BBI_{ECG} is first compared with the BBI_{R} to demonstrate the accuracy of BBI_{ECG} in representing the gold standard BBI_{R}. A large variation of the RMSD_{ECG} is observed for different subjects in Fig. 13. The RMSD_{ECG} for 5 subjects are within 20 ms, but are over 50 ms for 9 subjects. The average RMSD_{ECG} for all the subjects is 42.0 ms, which is about 5 ms larger than the results of SCG stated in [18]. The relatively large deviation is due to the additional interference in the RAW, which results in an inaccurate annotation of AO and thus introduces difference between the AO-AO and the R-R intervals.
The RMSDs of BBI and BBI with and BBI after removing large deviations, with BBI as reference.

The averages of RMSD and RMSD are MS and 53.73 ms, respectively. Compared with RMSD, the RMSD exhibits lower deviations for all the subjects, including the 6 subjects for window selection and the other 6 subjects. This indicates that the deviations of BBI from BBI are less than that of BBI and BBI could achieve a better representation of BBI.

The deviation of BBI, BBI and BBI from BBI might be due to wrong annotation of AO for poor-quality RAW, especially when the RAW signal was interfered by small interference that makes it difficult to correctly locate AO. After marking the BBI that has deviations over 20 ms from the corresponding BBI, the locations of wrong annotations can be found. To evaluate the performance of BBI with less interference, BBI, and BBI at these locations are removed, which leads to the improved RMSD, RMSD and RMSD as shown in Fig. 14.

After removing the large deviations, RMSD are decreased to below 10 ms for all the subjects, and the average is 3.82 ms. Compared with the corresponding RMSDs in Fig. 13, RMSD and RMSD in Fig. 14 are also decreased. The averages of RMSD and RMSD are 43.92 ms and 23.47 ms, respectively, which implies a much better performance for a high quality RAW signal.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an approach for detecting the AO from RAW without the concurrent ECG is presented to enable fully non-contact detection and analysis of SCG. The approach is based on a RDH signal that is derived from the radar displacement signal. The peaks of the RDH signal are used as reference points to accurately locate the systolic profiles of RAW with a fixed time window. Then the peaks of the envelope of the located systolic profiles are used as reference points for searching the AO. The results show that the AO have detection ratios over 75% for 20 out of 22 subjects, achieving a high estimation of AO points. The LVET obtained from the ensemble averaged RAW is within 2 ms of the LVET. Additional analysis of the BBI reveals that the RDH-based approach could provide a non-contact detection of the BBI with an average RMSD of 53.73 ms, and the RMSD could be reduced to 23.47 ms after removing the large deviations or the heartbeats with relatively high interferences.

Since the RDH-based approach can detect the AO with reasonable accuracy, this paves the way towards the complete non-contact measurement and analysis of SCG and enables remote sensing of BBI using the radar system. However, more robust method may need to be developed for searching AO within the built search window, especially for signals containing noise and interference. In addition, ACs from RAW are manually delineated, which limits the automatic detection of LVET in each heartbeat cycle, and the estimation of beat-to-beat intervals from the RAW signal is limited when compared with the ECG R-to-R interval. In future work, we will improve the robustness of the detection, use concurrent conventional SCG to evaluate the accuracy of information extracted from RAW, and further investigate fiducial point detection under external perturbation such as exercise, which will promote the applications of the non-contact system in cardiovascular monitoring applications, such as hemorrhage management, cardiac computed tomographic imaging, and monitoring patients with heart failure.
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